1			
2			
3			
4	BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER		
5	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	In the Matter of the Request for Finding of	File No. IE-2024-0002	
8	Eligibility to Seek Compensation of:	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER'S	
9	CONSUMER WATCHDOG	RULING ON REQUEST FOR A FINDING OF ELIGIBILITY TO SEEK COMPENSATION	
10		COMPENSATION	
11			
12			
13	Pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (b), and Title 10 of the		
14	California Code of Regulations ¹ , Title 10, sections 2661.3, subdivisions (g), and 2662.2,		
15	subdivision (a), Consumer Watchdog submitted a Request for Finding of Eligibility to Seek		
16	Compensation (Request) on or about June 3, 2024. The Commissioner has completed review of		
17	the Request and hereby issues the following determination.		
18	APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDA	RD	
19	In November 1988, California voters approved Proposition 103, which made changes in		
20	the regulation of automobile insurance, as well as the approval of premium rates for property and		
21	casualty lines of insurance in California. (Ins. Code § 1861.01, et seq.) Proposition 103 also		
22	allows for public participation through consumer intervention. ² (Ins. Code § 1861.10.) Any		
23			
24	¹ All further references to regulations are to Cal. Code Regs., tit. 10. ² California Insurance Code section 1861.10 states in relevant part:		
25	(a) Any person may initiate or intervene in any p	roceeding permitted or established pursuant to this er under this article and enforce any provision of the	
26	article.		
27	(b) The commissioner or a court shall award reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses to any person who demonstrates that (1) the person represents the interests of consumers, and (2) that he or she has made a substantial contribution to the adoption of any order, regulation, or decision by the commissioner or a court.		
28			

insurance consumer[s], or the intervenor is a group organized for the purpose of consumer protection as

demonstrated by, but is not limited to, a history of representing consumers in administrative, legislative or judicial proceedings."

is not a corporation, 1 2 (D) newsletter circulation, if any, along with a representative sample of newsletters and/or any other publication issued by the intervenor in California during the previous twelve (12) months, 3 4 (E) any annual or year-end report for the prior year, 5 (F) a statement as to whether or not the group has been granted non-profit status under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c), and 6 (G) in order to allow a determination whether the group actually 7 does represent the interests of consumers, a listing, by general 8 category, of the group's funding sources for the prior twentyfour (24) months and the approximate total percentage of the group's annual budget from each funding category. Each 9 foundation, corporate, business, or government grant shall be separately listed by name of foundation, corporation, business, 10 or government agency and amount of grant. For each individual who contributed at least five percent of the group's annual 11 budget, the name of the individual and the total amount of the 12 annual contribution shall be separately listed. 13 It also allows the Public Advisor to require additional information if needed. (Reg. § 2662.2(a).) 14 A granting of the request for eligibility does not ensure compensation in intervened proceedings. 15 Rather, compensation may be awarded for distinct contributions, "there is no real dispute an 16 intervenor cannot 'merely participate' or 'parrot' other litigants." (See State Farm General 17 Insurance Company v. Lara (2021) 71 Cal. App. 5th 197, 214.) Further, nothing in the regulations 18 prohibit any person from intervening or participating in department proceedings if that person is 19 not seeking compensation. 20 Finally, nothing in the finding of eligibility prohibits or limits "any person or group from 21 making any presentation or advocating any position which is otherwise admissible." (Reg. § 22 2662.2(g).) To that end, and in furtherance of the spirit of Proposition 103, the Department has 23 properly allowed public participation in the request for eligibility process, and has posted all 24 comments regarding the present request for eligibility on the Department website. 25 FINDINGS 26 All of the findings below are based on documents received by the Department and are 27 available on the Department's public website: 28

1.	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Consumer Watchdog is currently eligible to seek compensation as an intervenor with the Department through July 12, 2024.

Consumer Watchdog submitted a verified Request on or about June 3, 2024, pursuant to Section 2662.2.

Consumer Watchdog submitted the following information in its Request in accordance with the requirements of section 2662.2, subdivision (a)(2)(A)-(G) as follows:

- Consumer Watchdog provided a copy of its articles of incorporation and by-laws.
- Consumer Watchdog acknowledged it has no members.
- Consumer Watchdog listed the names of all individuals on its current Board of
 Directors and provided a business address at which they could all receive correspondence.
- Consumer Watchdog has no newsletter circulation, but updates interested parties via its website, email, and social media.
- Consumer Watchdog did not provide a year-end report as it does not produce one, but provided a self-compiled list of highlights from July 2022-May 2024.
- Consumer Watchdog submitted a letter from the IRS attesting to its status as a 501(c)(3) corporation.
- Consumer Watchdog submitted a self-compiled list of its funding sources grants (35.15%), individual contributions (19.44%), attorney/intervenor fees (42.46%), investment income (2.84%). No further details were provided besides the listing of foundation grants. Consumer Watchdog does not receive any corporate, business or government grants.

The Commissioner then issued an Order on or about June 19, 2024, allowing public participation in the subject Request by submitting public comment to the Department by June 28, 2024, and allowing Consumer Watchdog the opportunity to address such public comments by July 12, 2024.

All of the public comments can be accessed online, many of the comments raised from both industry and publicly elected officials raised the following common questions:

- How does Consumer Watchdog represent consumers when they have no members in their organization? What consumers *do* Consumer Watchdog represent? If Consumer Watchdog has no members, and no voters they are accountable to, then who watches the "Watchdog"?
- Is intervening in a rate filing proceeding the only metric used by Consumer Watchdog in determining they promote the interest of consumers?
- Does Consumer Watchdog's intervention serve as a positive for consumers, or does it result in unnecessary delay?
- How much are reductions in an insurer's rate application due to Consumer
 Watchdog versus the role of the Department of Insurance? And how much has
 Consumer Watchdog actually saved consumers when they ultimately approve rate
 filings that lead to increased premiums for consumers?
- Has Consumer Watchdog evaluated their role in the creation or exacerbation of challenges currently in the California insurance marketplace?

Consumer Watchdog submitted a response to public comments on or about July 12, 2024. In it, Consumer Watchdog acknowledges that the sole purpose of the Request "is to obtain a preliminary determination that a person or organization does in fact represent consumers for purposes of submitting a request for compensation at the conclusion of a Departmental proceeding; such a finding is valid in any proceeding commenced." (Consumer Watchdog Response, p. 6:1-5.) In support of the fact that Consumer Watchdog represents consumers, it submitted a list of over 120 rate and rulemaking proceedings in which they participated in over the past three decades. (Request, pp. 3-8.) However, Consumer Watchdog failed to provide a description of the work it conducted pursuant to section 2662.2, subdivision (a)(1). After receiving public comment noting this failure, Consumer Watchdog then submitted additional description of the work performed. (Consumer Watchdog Response, pp. 17-19.)

DETERMINATION

Consumer Watchdog described the process of requesting eligibility to seek compensation from the Department as "Orwellian". This is an interesting summary from the organization whose

founding member is the architect of Proposition 103. Proposition 103 has allowed, from the time it was implemented in 1988, for any person to initiate or intervene in any proceeding before the Department and to challenge any action of the Insurance Commissioner. Significantly, Consumer Watchdog is the primary financial beneficiary of a process it created over thirty years ago, and a process that constitutes the largest source of funding for its organization. Now, public participants have commented on Consumer Watchdog's Request to the Insurance Commissioner for a finding of eligibility to seek compensation from the Department for its work as an intervenor, raising questions as to its role, and Consumer Watchdog's instinct is to provide literary references instead of literal answers.

The role of intervenors in rate filings is to speak on behalf of consumers, whose point of view may go unheard. The purpose of the intervenor process is to provide value for consumers and not simply duplication of the Department's efforts. To that end, it cannot go unsaid that the Department is the ultimate champion on behalf of California consumers. The Department reports to the Commissioner who is elected by California consumers and directly accountable to the citizenry. The Department's role is to thoroughly review each and every rate application submitted by insurers, and to ensure that rates are not "excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory", all while being responsive and accountable directly to the people.

Consumer Watchdog, who has no members and is accountable to no one but itself, fails to acknowledge the role of the Department's rate regulation branch in the rate application process, and wrongly contends to have saved consumers \$6 billion in insurance premiums since 2002. The Department's rate regulation branch analyzes all rate change requests to ensure that what is being requested by insurers is compliant under Proposition 103. If proposed rates are excessive, the Department then requires insurers to reduce the proposed rates to no greater than the maximum permitted rate under Proposition 103. The goal of inviting additional public participation is to bring in a unique perspective or additional value, and not to simply participate for participation's sake.

While Consumer Watchdog's June 3, 2024 Request asserts it has been found eligible to seek compensation numerous times since 1993, the Commissioner notes the common questions

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

1

raised in the public comments above, and anticipates future requests by Consumer Watchdog to address these questions in the first instance without the need for subsequent briefing by the applicant. Two examples come to mind. First, Consumer Watchdog states it has no members. It is unclear how an organization with no members can represent the interests of consumers if they are not accountable to the consumers they claim to represent. This information was not clear from the Request, but Consumer Watchdog claims in its Response to public comments that it communicates with consumers by (1) responding to consumer complaints received via phone and its website, and (2) it has an email list and social media. There does not appear to be any direct outreach with consumers via town halls or community meetings with insurance consumers, individuals or business, nor with other organizations or non-profits.

Second, Consumer Watchdog's initial Request failed to provide any specificity in the description of the work performed as intervenors or participants in Department proceedings. Only upon receiving public comment on this deficiency did Consumer Watchdog provide additional information. The Commissioner notes though that even with the additional information, it is unclear what work Consumer Watchdog actually performed in the rate application process versus that of the Department. This is an area where the Commissioner will expect a stronger description in the first instance of the work performed by Consumer Watchdog. Without more detailed description, it remains unseen if the work performed constituted mere participation, which does not serve as a basis for the future recovery of fees.

Thus, after reviewing both Consumer Watchdog's Request and subsequent Response, collectively, Consumer Watchdog has met the minimal threshold requirements of section 2662.2, and is eligible to seek compensation in Department proceedings under Insurance Code section 1861.10. However, Consumer Watchdog provided a de minimus amount of information to meet section 2662.2 before providing additional information in response to public comment. The Commissioner will expect Consumer Watchdog to fully embrace the letter and spirit of Proposition 103 by providing more information upfront when Consumer Watchdog's eligibility expires in two years.

1	As noted above, the granting of Consumer Watchdog's present request for eligibility doe		
2	not ensure compensation in intervened proceedings. Any recovery of funds for participation in a		
3	Department proceeding requires a separate petition to recover such fees based upon a showing of		
4	"substantial contribution," which is defined as "a significant, distinct contribution" under		
5	Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (b). Mere participation, or duplication of		
6	Department work, does not constitute a substantial contribution. Finally, nothing in the		
7	regulations prohibit any person from intervening or participating in department proceedings if		
8	that person is not seeking compensation.		
9	9 ORDER	ORDER	
10	Based on the foregoing, Consumer Watchdog is deemed eligible to seek compensation		
11	from the Department for participating in Department proceedings pursuant to Insurance Code		
12	section 1861.10, for a period not to exceed two years from July 12, 2024.		
13	13		
14		ARDO LARA	
15	Calif	Fornia Insurance Commissioner	
16	16		
17	By_	4/6	
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		
	II		

1	Proof of Service			
2	In the Matter of the Request for Finding of Eligibility to Seek Compensation of: CONSUMER WATCHDOG Case No. IE-2024-0002			
3				
4	I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within action. I am an employee of the Department of Insurance, State of California, employed at 300 Capitol Mall, 16th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On August 02, 2024, I served the following document(s):			
5				
6	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER'S RULING ON REQUEST FOR A FINDING OF ELIGIBILITY TO SEEK COMPENSATION			
7	on all persons named on the attached Service List, by the method of service indicated, as follows:			
8	each person indicated, by placing on this date, true copies in sealed envelopes, addressed to each person indicated, in this office's facility for collection of outgoing items to be sent by mail pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013. I am familiar with this office's practice of collecting and processing documents placed for mailing by LLS. Mail. Under that practice			
10				
11	that same day, with postage fully prepaid, in the city of Sacramento and the county of Sacramento, California.			
12 13	If OVERNIGHT SERVICE is indicated, by placing on this date, true copies in sealed envelopes, addressed to each person indicated, in this office's facility for collection of outgoing			
14	items for overnight delivery, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013. I am familiar with this office's practice of collecting and processing documents placed for overnight delivery. Under that practice, outgoing items are deposited, in the ordinary course of business, with an authorized courier or a facility regularly maintained by one of the following overnight services in the city of Oakland and the county of Alameda, California: Express Mail, UPS, Federal Express, or Golden State overnight service, with an active account number shown for payment.			
15 16				
17	If FAX SERVICE is indicated, by facsimile transmission this date to fax number stated for the person(s) so marked.			
18	If PERSONAL SERVICE is indicated, by hand delivery this date.			
19	If INTRA-AGENCY MAIL is indicated, by placing this date in a place designated for collection for delivery by Department of Insurance intra-agency mail.			
20	If EMAIL is indicated, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address(es) listed.			
21 22	Executed this date at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of			
23	the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
24	Ahyul Be			
25	Abigail Gomez			
26				
27				

SERVICE LIST In the Matter of the Request for Finding of Eligibility to Seek Compensation of: **CONSUMER WATCHDOG** Case No. IE-2024-0002 Name/Address Phone/Fax Numbers **Method of Service** Pamela Pressley Tel: (310) 392-0522 Via EMAIL CONSUMER WATCHDOG Fax: (310) 392-8874 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250 Los Angeles, CA 90048 pam@consumerwatchdog.org